Solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for highly symmetric potentials
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Abstract

The method of symmetry adapted wavepackets (SAWP) to solve the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for a highly symmetric potential energy surface is introduced. The angular dependence of a quantum-mechanical wavepacket is expanded in spherical harmonics where the number of close-coupled equations for the corresponding radial functions can be efficiently reduced by symmetry adaption of the rotational basis using the SAWP approach. Various techniques to generate symmetry adapted spherical harmonics (SASHs) for the point groups of highest symmetry (octahedral, icosahedral) are discussed. The standard projection operator technique involves the use of Wigner rotation matrices. Two methods to circumvent numerical instabilities occurring for large azimuthal quantum numbers are suggested. The first is based on a numerical scheme which employs Gaussian integrations yielding exact and stable results. The second is a recursive algorithm to generate higher order SASHs accurately and efficiently from lower order ones. The paper gives a complete set of “seed functions” generated by projection techniques which can be used to obtain SASHs for all irreducible representations of the octahedral and icosahedral point groups recursively. © 2000 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Point-group or space-group symmetry of molecular or crystallographic systems, respectively, can be exploited to greatly facilitate the solution of the time-independent (TISE) or time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE). In almost all cases, symmetry adaption of wavefunctions is achieved by expanding wavefunctions in a symmetry adapted finite basis representation and solving the equations for the corresponding (stationary or dynamical) coefficients [1]. However, most of the recent work on quantum molecular dynamics [2–5] typically employs discrete variable representations (DVRs) in coordinate space [6,7]. In particular, Fourier collocation schemes as a special case of DVRs have become a widely used tool, owing to the favorable scaling properties of fast Fourier transforms (FFTs) [8–10]. Accordingly, symmetry adapted FFT methods [8–11] as well as symmetry adapted DVRs [12] have been developed. These approaches have been limited so far to relatively low symmetries, e.g., the $D_{3h}$ symmetry of the triatomic hydrogen reaction [11], the $C_{2v}$ symmetry of the water molecule [13] or the $D_{2h}$ symmetry of planar acetylene [14–16]. An extension of these approaches to point groups of highest symmetry
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such as the octahedral or icosahedral group poses severe difficulties. Whenever the symmetry involves more than a single (rotational) degree of freedom direct product of DVR schemes cannot be constructed in a straightforward manner.

The approach of symmetry adapted wavepackets (SAWPs) essentially represents a symmetry adaption of the well-known close coupled wavepacket (CCWP) formalism [17,18] where the angular dependence of a quantum mechanical wavepacket is expanded in terms of spherical harmonic (SH) functions $Y_{jm}$ which is known to be more efficient than a pointwise DVR approach for spherical dynamics [19]. Inserting this approach into the TDSE yields a set of differential equations for the radial wavefunctions which are coupled through matrix elements of the potential energy. Because both the numerical effort and the storage requirement depend quadratically on the number of spherical harmonics used in the expansion scheme, it is highly desirable to reduce this number as far as possible. In the SAWP extension of the CCWP technique this is accomplished by the use of symmetry adapted spherical harmonics (SASHs) which transform according to irreducible representations of the point group of the Hamiltonian operator. This idea was first developed for the treatment of molecule-surface scattering [20,21]. However, those applications were confined to the case of cyclic or dihedral point groups where the construction of SASHs is relatively simple [22].

The present work aims at an extension of the SAWP technique to cases of highest symmetry, in particular to the octahedral and icosahedral point groups where the generation of SASHs is considerably more complicated. Another challenge of the present work stems from the requirement of large values of the azimuthal quantum number $j$. In contrast to electronic structure calculations, where only very low values of $j$ play a role, typical quantum molecular dynamics simulations may require large values of $j$ in the order of a few ten to a few hundred, see, for example, our wavepacket studies of HX (X= F, Cl) photodissociation inside octahedral (face-centered cubic) rare gas matrices [23] or inside icosahedral rare gas clusters [24,25].

The notion of SASHs for the octahedral point group $O_h$, which are often referred to as cubic, lattice, or surface harmonics, dates back to the very early stages of quantum mechanics. First approaches were made in ligand field theory [26–28] and in studies of the rotational motion of molecules in crystals [29,30]. A more systematic approach can be found in work on electronic band structures [31]. Subsequently, improved schemes for the generation of SASHs were developed [32,33] and SASHs for all irreducible representations of the point groups relevant in crystallography were compiled [22]. However, these tables are either limited to relatively low quantum states with $j \leq 12$ or to the totally symmetric irreducible representation $A_g$ of the $O_h$ group [34].

The icosahedral point group $I_h$ has been long neglected due to its irrelevance in conventional crystallography. Early exceptions are the works in Refs. [35,36]. Also in these cases the data are restricted to $j \leq 12$ or $j \leq 8$, respectively. Stimulated by new applications of the icosahedral symmetry in recent years in the fields of chemistry (fullerenes, e.g., $C_{60}$), solid state physics (quasi-crystals), and biology (viruses), icosahedral SASHs have been investigated in a number of recent publications [37–40]. However, the tabulated functions for $j \leq 30$ given in these studies are again restricted to the totally symmetric irreducible representation $A_g$ of the $I_h$ point group.

The standard technique of evaluating SASHs by means of projection operators involves the law of transformation of spherical harmonics using Wigner rotation matrices [22]. For the case of very large quantum numbers occurring in quantum molecular dynamics, severe numerical instabilities occur which limit the use of the standard method. In the present work, two approaches to circumvent these obstacles shall be followed. One of them is also based on projection operators but the evaluation of rotation matrices is avoided in a numerical approach based on Gaussian quadratures [41] which, in principle, allows exact and stable evaluation of SASHs. The other scheme used is a recursive algorithm to generate higher order SASHs from a very small set of low order functions, the so-called “seed functions”. Originally it was only applied to the totally symmetric representation where it was shown to be both very accurate and very efficient [40]. In the present work this technique is extended to all representations of the octahedral and icosahedral point group.

The paper is organized as follows. The symmetry adapted wavepacket (SAWP) method is presented in the following Section 2. The various analytical and numerical approaches to generate symmetry adapted spherical harmonics (SASHs) are introduced in Section 3. Results for the octahedral and icosahedral point group are given.
in Section 4. Finally, our conclusions are given in Section 5. An extensive appendix gives a complete set of “seed functions” for generation of SASHs for the two point groups under consideration.

2. Symmetry-adapted wavepackets

For a single particle of mass $M$ in three-dimensional space, time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE) for the wavefunction $\psi$ governed by a time-dependent potential $V$ can be written in spherical coordinates $r, \theta, \phi$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(r, \theta, \phi, t) = \left[ \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \left( -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} r + \frac{j^2}{r^2} \right) + V(r, \theta, \phi, t) \right] \psi(r, \theta, \phi, t),$$  

(1)

where the first term on the r.h.s. stands for the radial part of the kinetic energy. The second term is the centrifugal interaction connected with the angular part of the kinetic energy which is represented here in terms of the angular momentum operator $\hat{J}$. Note that the TISE can be easily retrieved from the TDSE by simply replacing the l.h.s. of the above equation by $E \psi(r, \theta, \phi)$ and by omitting the time-dependence of potentials and wavefunctions.

In analogy with conventional close-coupling schemes to separate electronic and nuclear degrees of freedom, we treat angular and radial coordinates following the “close-coupled wavepacket” (CCWP) approach commonly used in diatom-surface scattering theory [17,18], where the angular dependence of the 3D wavefunction is expanded in a series of spherical harmonic functions $Z_{jn}(r, \theta, \phi)$

$$\psi(r, \theta, \phi; t) = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{N_j^{(\Gamma)}}{r} \chi_{jn}^{(\Gamma)}(r, \theta, \phi),$$  

(2)

where the time-dependent coefficients $\chi_{jn}$ can be identified as radial wavefunctions corresponding to each of the angular functions $Z_{jn}$. In this expansion it is assumed that the angular basis can be decomposed into sets of functions which transform according to irreducible representations $\Gamma$ of the point group $G$ given by the symmetry of the potential energy function. Note that the third summation extends over the $N_j^{(\Gamma)}$ SASHs of this representation for a given quantum number $j$. They are obtained as linear combinations of spherical harmonics $Y_{jm}$ [22]

$$Z_{jn}^{(\Gamma)}(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{m=-j}^{j} c_{jm}^{(\Gamma)} Y_{jm}(\theta, \phi), \quad n = 1, \ldots, N_j^{(\Gamma)},$$  

(3)

the calculation of which will be detailed in the following section. Consequently, the SASHs are eigenfunctions of $\hat{J}^2$ but not of $\hat{J}_z$ any more. Note that the coefficients can always be chosen such that the SASHs are real-valued (see Appendix A). Inserting ansatz (2) into the TDSE (1) and projection on one of the angular SASH functions yields the following set of coupled equations

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi_{jn}^{(\Gamma)}(r, t) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2r} + \frac{j(j+1)}{r^2} \right] \chi_{jn}^{(\Gamma)}(r, t) + \sum_{j'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n'=1}^{N_{j'}^{(\Gamma)}} V_{jn'n'}^{(\Gamma)}(r, t) \chi_{jn'}^{(\Gamma)}(r, t),$$  

(4)

where the time evolution of the radial functions is determined by matrix elements of the potential energy surface in the SASHs basis which are defined as integrals over the surface of the unit sphere with $d\Omega = \sin \theta d\theta d\phi$

$$V_{jn'n'}^{(\Gamma)}(r, t) = \int d\Omega \, Z_{jn}^{(\Gamma)}(\theta, \phi) V(r, \theta, \phi, t) Z_{jn'}^{(\Gamma)}(\theta, \phi).$$  

(5)

Note that matrix elements of the potential energy function between SASHs belonging to different irreducible representations ($\Gamma' \neq \Gamma$) must vanish. It is convenient to expand the potential energy function in terms of SASHs of the totally symmetric representation ($\Gamma'' = \epsilon$).
\[ V(r, \theta, \phi, t) = \sum_{j'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n'=1}^{\infty} U_{j'n'}(r, t) Z_{j'n'}^{(e)}(\theta, \phi). \]  

(6)

The integrals to obtain the coefficients \( U_{j'n'} \) are easier to calculate than a direct integration of Eq. (5) because an integrand of the form \( ZV \) has considerably fewer nodes than a triple product \( ZVZ \). Now the matrix elements (5) of the potential energy can be written with the help of (3) as

\[ V_{jnj}(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{j'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n'=1}^{\infty} U_{j'n'}(r, t) \sum_{m=-j'}^{j'} \sum_{m''=-j''}^{j''} c_{jnj'm'} c_{jnj''m''} \times \int d\Omega Y^*(j', \theta) Y_{j'm'}(\theta, \phi) Y_{j''m''}(\theta, \phi). \]

(7)

The integral over triple products of spherical harmonics is readily evaluated with the help of the Clebsch–Gordan series [42]

\[ \int d\Omega Y^*(jm) Y_{jm'} Y_{jm''} = (-1)^m \sqrt{\frac{(2j+1)(2j'+1)(2j''+1)}{4\pi}} \times \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j' & j'' \\ -m & m' & m'' \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j' & j'' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \]

(8)

where \( (\ldots) \) represents the Wigner 3j-symbol. As indicated by the order of the summations in Eq. (7), only the expansion coefficients \( U_{r;t} \) have to be calculated for every value of the radial coordinate and – in case of a time-dependent potential – for every time step, while the remaining three-fold sum and the 3j-symbols have to be calculated only once for each irreducible representation \( \Gamma \).

3. Symmetry adapted spherical harmonics (SASHs)

3.1. Projection operators

In this section we want to review different approaches to obtain symmetry adapted spherical harmonics (SASHs) \( Z^{(\Gamma)}_{jn}(\theta, \phi) \) required for the expansion of the angular dependence of wavepackets, see Eqs. (2) and (3). We begin with the standard technique of forming symmetry adapted linear combinations of a set of basis functions using projection operators for a point group \( G \) consisting of \( |G| \) elements [1,43]. Irreducible representations \( \Gamma \) of dimension \( l(\Gamma) \) are characterized by matrix representations \( D^{(\Gamma)}(R) \) for each of the symmetry operation \( R \). Given these representations it is straight-forward to obtain SASHs \( Z^{(\Gamma)}_{jn} \) by applying the projection operators \( \hat{W}^{(\Gamma)}_{ts} \) to spherical harmonics \( Y_{jn} \)

\[ Z^{(\Gamma)}_{jn}(\theta, \phi) = \hat{W}^{(\Gamma)}_{ts} Y_{jn}(\theta, \phi) = \frac{l(\Gamma)}{|G|} \sum_{R \in G} \left[ D^{(\Gamma)}(R)_{ts} \right]^* \hat{R} Y_{jn}(\theta, \phi), \]

(9)

where it is sufficient to consider only the diagonal elements of the representation matrices into account \( (t=s) \). The basis functions obtained for each of the irreducible representations have to be orthogonalized using, e.g., the standard Schmidt–Gram procedure.

The number of SASHs for a given value \( j \) belonging to each of the irreducible representations \( \Gamma \) can be easily obtained by decomposing the \((2j+1)\)-dimensional representation of the spherical group

\[ N^{(\Gamma)}_j = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{R \in G} \chi^{(\Gamma)}(R)^* \chi_j(R), \]

(10)
where $\chi^{(\Gamma)}(R) = \sum_i D^{(\Gamma)}(R)_{ji}$ is the character of the irreducible representation. The characters $\chi_j(R)$ are obtained as [26]

$$\chi_j(R) = P_R \frac{\sin[(j + \frac{1}{2})\Phi_R]}{\sin[\frac{1}{2}\Phi_R]}.$$  

(11)

where each symmetry operation $R$ is considered either as a single rotation by an angle $\Phi_R$ or as a rotation followed by an inversion, giving rise to $P_R = 1$ or $P_R = (-1)^j$, respectively.

The above relation to generate SASHs is simple when applied to low symmetries such as the cyclic or dihedral groups or when only low values of the angular momentum $j$ are considered. For example, the use of symmetry adapted orbitals is routinely implemented in standard electronic structure calculation codes. However, its use in quantum molecular dynamics is hampered by the need for higher angular momenta due to the much larger masses of the nuclei. In the following subsections, different methods to evaluate (9) are introduced and their capability to generate SASHs for the icosahedral and octahedral point group and for high $j$ values is reviewed.

### 3.2. Projection method using rotation matrices

The standard method to calculate SASHs is based on the law of transformation of spherical harmonics [42, 44, 45] to solve Eq. (9). The transformation under the various symmetry operations $R$ forming the group $G$ results in

$$\bar{Y}_{jm}(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{m=-j}^{j} P_R D_{jnm}(\alpha_R, \beta_R, \gamma_R) Y_{jm}(\theta, \phi),$$  

(12)

where the rotation $R$ is parameterized by the Euler angles $\alpha_R, \beta_R, \gamma_R$. Inserting the above equation into Eq. (9) and comparing with Eq. (3) yields expressions for the coefficients of the SASHs in terms of spherical harmonics

$$c^{(\Gamma)}_{jnm} = \frac{f^{(\Gamma)}}{|G|} \sum_{R \in G} [D^{(\Gamma)}(R)_{ji}]^* P_R D_{jnm}(\alpha_R, \beta_R, \gamma_R).$$  

(13)

The Wigner rotation matrices $D_{jnm}$ can be expressed as [22, 42, 44]

$$D_{jnm}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{cases} \exp[-im(\alpha + \gamma)]\delta_{n,m} & \beta = 0, \\ (-1)^j \exp[-im(\alpha - \gamma)]\delta_{n,-m} & \beta = \pi, \\ (-1)^j \exp[-i(m\alpha + n\gamma)]d_{jnm}(\beta) & \text{else}, \end{cases}$$  

(14)

with $f = |n| + n - |m| - m$. While for simple point groups such as the cyclic and dihedral groups the frame of reference can always be chosen such that all symmetry operations can be described by a (proper or improper) rotation by $\alpha + \gamma$ or $\alpha - \gamma$ about the $z$-axis (for $\beta = 0$ or $\beta = \pi$, respectively) this is not the case for the octahedral and icosahedral point groups where – due to the three-, four-, and five-fold axes – also other values of $\beta$ occur. Then it becomes necessary to evaluate the reduced matrices which are given by

$$d_{jnm}(\beta) = \sqrt{(j + n)!(j + m)!(j - n)!(j - m)!} \times \sum_{\min(j-n,j+m)}^{(j-n)!(j+m)!(j-n)!(j-m)!} (-1)^k \times \cos\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{2j+m-n-2k} \sin\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{2k+n-m}. \quad (15)$$

Using an 8-byte representation for floating point numbers, both a direct logarithmic calculation and a calculation by means of a recursion relation given in Ref. [22] were found to be stable only up to $j \approx 40 \ldots 45$ for the octahedral
and the icosahedral point group. Very recently novel recursion relations for the construction of rotation matrices have been derived which appear to be considerably more efficient and numerically stable [46].

3.3. Projection method using numerical integration

A practical alternative to calculate SASHs by projection operator methods avoiding the use of Wigner rotation matrices and the problems occurring for the evaluation of products and fractions of factorials in Eq. (15) is introduced in Ref. [41]. This method is based on Gaussian integrations and lends itself more easily to implementation on computers. First of all, it is straightforward to obtain the SASHs coefficients $c_{jnm}^{(\Gamma)}$ defined in Eq. (3) by projecting SASHs as given by Eq. (9) on a spherical harmonic $Y_{lm}$

$$c_{jnm}^{(\Gamma)} = \frac{t^{(\Gamma)}}{|G|} \sum_{\mathbf{R} \in G} \left(D^{(\Gamma)}(\mathbf{R}))_{11}\right)^* \int d\Omega Y_{jm}^{\ast}(\theta, \phi) \mathcal{R}Y_{jn}(\theta, \phi).$$

(16)

Subsequently, the use of Wigner rotation matrices to evaluate $\mathcal{R}Y_{jn}(\theta, \phi)$ can be avoided by simply replacing the action of the symmetry operation $\mathcal{R}$ on the spherical harmonics by its reverse $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$ acting on the arguments of the spherical harmonic

$$\mathcal{R}Y_{jn}(\theta, \phi) = Y_{jn}(\mathcal{R}^{-1}(\theta, \phi)).$$

(17)

Following the work of Ref. [41], it is easy to show how the above integrals can be calculated in a numerically exact manner using a direct product of two Gaussian quadrature schemes. According to the law of transformation of spherical harmonics (12) it is evident that the integrals must be linear combinations of terms of the form

$$\sim \int_{-1}^{1} d(\cos \theta) P_{jm}(\cos \theta) P_{jn}(\cos \theta) \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi e^{i(n-m)\phi},$$

(18)

where $P_{jm}, P_{jn}$ are the associated Legendre polynomials. Although the coefficients of the linear combinations are not explicitly known, consideration of (18) is helpful to characterize the integrand of (16) and to choose appropriate quadrature schemes for the numerical integration of (16). The integrand is a polynomial of the order $2j$ in the argument $\cos \theta$ and can be evaluated exactly using a Gauss–Legendre scheme with $2j+1$ quadrature points. The integration over $\phi$ is carried out using equally spaced abscissae thus projecting out the $m = n$ component. By analogy with the Nyquist theorem for discrete Fourier transforms it can be shown that $2j+1$ integration points are necessary and sufficient to obtain a numerically exact result for (16).

3.4. Recursive method

A very elegant way to circumvent the tedious numerical calculation of the two projection operator methods presented above is suggested in Ref. [40] where a recursive algorithm to generate higher order SASHs which transform according to representation $\Gamma$ from products of lower order SASHs (‘seed functions’) is developed. Whenever the direct product of two irreducible representations $\Gamma'$ and $\Gamma''$ contains only the desired representation $\Gamma$

$$\Gamma' \otimes \Gamma'' = \Gamma$$

(19)

it follows from group theory that any product of SASHs belonging to $\Gamma''$ and $\Gamma''$ can be written in terms of SASHs transforming according to representation $\Gamma$

$$Z_{jm}^{(\Gamma')}(\theta, \phi)Z_{jn}^{(\Gamma'')}(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{jm}^{(\Gamma)}} k_{jmj'n'nm}^{(\Gamma',\Gamma''\Gamma)} Z_{jnm}^{(\Gamma)}(\theta, \phi),$$

(20)
where the coefficients $k$ characterize the linear combinations. Inserting the definition of SASHs (3) on both sides of the equation provides a relation between the coefficients $c^{(T)}$, $c^{(T')}$ of the seed functions, which are assumed to be known, and the unknown coefficients $c^{(T)}$ of the wanted function $Z^{(T)}_{jnm}$

$$
\sum_{m'}^{j'} \sum_{m''}^{j''} c^{(T')}_{j'm'} c^{(T'')}_{j''m''} Y_{j'm'} Y_{j''m''} = \sum_{j=0}^{N^{(T)}_j} \sum_{n=1}^{N^{(T')}_{jnm}} k^{(T')}_{jnm'} Y_{jnm'},
$$

Next, this equation is projected by on the spherical harmonic function $Y_{jnm}$ where Eq. (8) is used to evaluate the left hand side, respectively

$$
\sum_{m'}^{j'} \sum_{m''}^{j''} c^{(T')}_{j'm'} c^{(T'')}_{j''m''} (-1)^m \sqrt{\frac{(2j' + 1)(2j' + 1)(2j'' + 1)}{4\pi}} \times \begin{pmatrix} j & j' & j'' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j & j' & j'' \\ -m' & m' & m'' \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n=1}^{N^{(T')}_{jnm}} k^{(T')}_{jnm'} c^{(T')}_{jnm}.
$$

Note that it is helpful to consider the conditions for non-vanishing Wigner 3$j$ symbols. The triangle rule $|j' - j''| \leq j \leq j' + j''$ imposes certain restrictions on the choice of functions $Z_{jnm}$ that can be constructed from a given pair of seed functions for $j'$ and $j''$ (see below). Moreover, the second of the 3$j$ symbols is only non-zero for $-m' + m'' = 0$ which can be used to omit the $m''$-summation. After including all $m$-independent terms into a new proportionality constant $k$ one finally obtains

$$
\sum_{m'}^{j'} c^{(T')}_{j'm'} c^{(T'')}_{j''m' - m} (-1)^m \begin{pmatrix} j & j' & j'' \\ -m' & m' & m - m' \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n=1}^{N^{(T')}_{jnm}} k^{(T')}_{jnm'} c^{(T')}_{jnm},
$$

where the summation over $m'$ extends from $\max(-j', -j'' + m)$ to $\min(j', j'' + m)$. If there exists only one SASH function ($N^{(T')}_{j} = 1$) for given angular momentum $j$ and given representation $T'$ then it is straightforward to obtain an expression for the coefficients $c^{(T')}_{jnm}$ by simply identifying $k$ as a normalization constant of $Z_{jnm}$. In case of degenerate SASHs the above equation has to be evaluated for a set of $N^{(T')}_{j} > 1$ different pairs of seed functions $j', n'$, $j'', n''$ which are compatible with the choice of $j$, $n$. Subsequently, the resulting coefficient vectors in $m$ for different $n$ have to be orthogonalized and normalized.

4. SASHs for the octahedral and icosahedral point groups

4.1. Number of SASHs

Before turning to the details of the calculation of the SASHs in the following subsections we would first like to discuss the number of SASHs for the irreducible representations of the octahedral ($O_h$) and icosahedral ($I_h$) point group. These numbers are obtained as the number of times a certain representation occurs upon decomposition of the $(2j + 1)$-fold degenerate representations of the spherical group, see Eq. (10).

Fig. 1 shows our results for the case of the $I_h$ group. The curves indicate the accumulated numbers of SASH functions

$$
N^{(T)}_S(j_{\text{max}}) = \sum_{j=0}^{j_{\text{max}}} N^{(T)}_j,
$$
Fig. 1. Cumulative number $N^S(j_{\text{max}})$ of symmetry adapted spherical harmonics. The solid circles are for all representations of the octahedral (left) and icosahedral (right) point group. In the limit of large values of $j$, the curves approach $j_{\text{max}}^2 (l^{(\Gamma)})^2 / |G|$, see Eq. (24). For comparison, the dashed curve gives the size $N_N(j_{\text{max}})$ of a basis of non-symmetry adapted spherical harmonics.

where $j_{\text{max}}$ indicates the maximum possible angular momentum considered and $N^S(j_{\text{max}})$ is calculated from (10). These numbers have to be compared with the number of non-symmetry adapted spherical harmonics

$$N_N(j_{\text{max}}) = \sum_{j=0}^{j_{\text{max}}} (2j + 1) = (j_{\text{max}} + 1)^2.$$  

In the limit of large angular momentum quantum numbers $j$, the number of SASHs scales with

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} N^S(j_{\text{max}}) = \frac{j_{\text{max}}^2 (l^{(\Gamma)})^2}{|G|},$$  

where $l^{(\Gamma)}$ is the dimensionality of the representation $\Gamma$ and $|G|$ is the number of symmetry operations forming the point group. For the point groups considered here, we have $|G| = 48$ for $O_h$ and $|G| = 120$ for $I_h$. In the most favorable case of the one-dimensional representations $A_g / A_u$ of the point group $I_h$, the number $N^S(j_{\text{max}})$ of SASHs is more than two orders of magnitude less than the number $N_N$ of spherical functions in the non-symmetric case. This ratio becomes less favorable in the case of the higher-dimensional representations. However, even in the worst case of the five-dimensional $H_g / H_u$ representations, the number of functions is reduced by a factor of 24/5.

Consequently, the number of coupled equations in (4) that have to be solved simultaneously is greatly reduced which is the key advantage of the SAWP technique for point groups of high symmetry. In particular, both the numerical effort of the Hamiltonian operation and the storage required for the potential energy matrix scale quadratically with $N^S(j_{\text{max}})$. If the coupled equations are to be solved by methods relying on a diagonalization of the matrix representation (5) of the potential energy [47], the effort scales with the third power of $N^S(j_{\text{max}})$. These considerations clearly show the dramatic improvement of the SAWP method in comparison with an unsymmetrized CCWP approach.
4.2. Generation of totally symmetric SASHs

The use of the recursive method as shown in Eq. (23) is demonstrated in Ref. [40] for the totally symmetric irreducible representation \( \Gamma = \Gamma'' = \Gamma'' = \epsilon \). For the special case of the icosahedral point group \((I_h)\) we have \( \epsilon = A_s \). Clearly the first of the SASHs which transforms according to this representation is the trivial function \((j = 0)\). In principle, only the second \( A_s \) functions \((j = 6)\), see also Table 7) is needed as a seed function. From a combination of this function with itself \((j' = j'' = 6)\), the third function \((j = 10)\) can be generated. For the generation of the fourth SASH \((j = 12)\) there are already two possibilities, one with \( j' = j'' = 6 \) and one with \( j' = 10, \ j'' = 6 \). For higher functions there is a rapidly increasing number of possibilities of different combinations of quantum number \( j', j'' \) to generate functions for a given value of \( j \).

Instead, we suggest to use the first two non-trivial totally symmetric SASHs as seed functions which have to be generated by projection operator techniques. These are the \( j' = 4 \) and \( j'' = 6 \) SASHs for the \( O_h \) group \([22]\) (see Table 1) and the \( j' = 6 \) and \( j'' = 10 \) SASHs for the \( I_h \) group \([35]\) (see Table 7), which can be used as seed functions to construct higher order functions as combinations of the kind

\[
j = sj' + tj'', \quad s, t \geq 0.
\]

This approach is very convenient for the following two reasons. First, one always obtains the correct multiplicities when considering all combinations of integer numbers \( s, t \) leading to a given value of \( j \). For the example of the icosahedral group, there is a unique possibility to generate SASHs for \( j = 12, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28 \). The two-fold degeneracy for \( j = 30 \) is correctly reproduced by the two combinations \( 5, 0 \) and \( 0, 3 \) for \( s \) and \( t \), and so forth. Secondly, for the (repeated) evaluation of Eq. (23) with \( j = j' + j'' \) the triangle formed by the angular momentum vectors corresponding to \( j, j', j'' \) becomes a stretched triangle \([40]\) with parallel edges which offers the advantage of a simplified calculation of the Wigner 3\( j \)-symbol in \((23)\) by means of \([45]\)

\[
\left( \begin{array}{ccc} j' + j'' & j' & j'' \\ -m & m' & m - m' \end{array} \right) = (-1)^{j'-j''+m} \sqrt{\frac{(2j')!(2j'')!(j' + j'' + m)!(j' + j'' - m)!}{(2j' + 2j'' + 1)!(j' - m')!(j' - m' - m)! (j'' + m - m')! (j'' - m + m')!}}. \tag{26}
\]

Fig. 2 illustrates the two lowest non-trivial \((j > 0)\) totally symmetric SASHs of the octahedral and the icosahedral point group. The structure of the densities \( |Z_{jn}^{(e)}|^2 \) follows a similar scheme which becomes obvious when considering the nodal pattern for each of the triangles comprising the platonic body. The first function \((j = 4)\) for \( O_h, \ j = 6 \) for \( I_h \) exhibits one class of extrema at each of the corners and another class of extrema (with different sign of \( Z_{jn}^{(e)} \)) at the center of each of the faces. In contrast, the next higher functions \((j = 6 \text{ for } O_h, \ j = 10 \text{ for } I_h)\) have their main extrema at the centers of each of the edges.

4.3. Generation of remaining SASHs

For the construction of the SASHs transforming according to the non-totally symmetric irreducible representations we proceed similarly as for the totally symmetric representation \( \epsilon \). We make use of the fact that the direct product of \( \epsilon \) with any representation yields the latter one

\[
\epsilon \otimes \Gamma = \Gamma
\]

which is a special case of Eq. (19). Hence, we choose as seed vectors a set containing all of the SASHs of \( \epsilon \)-symmetry which have been generated previously and augment it by as few as possible SASHs of the representation \( \Gamma \) which have to be generated using the projection operator method. Then the whole set of SASHs \( Z_{jn}^{(\Gamma)} \) can be generated from a combination of each of the \( Z_{jn}^{(e)} \) with each of the additional seed functions
Fig. 2. Two lowest SASH functions transforming according to the totally symmetric irreducible representation \( \epsilon \) of the octahedral (\( O_h, A_{1g} \), left panel) and icosahedral (\( I_h, A_g \), right panel) point group. The radius of the spherical plots represents the density \( Z^2 \) added to a constant. The sign of \( Z \) is represented by the dark or light color. Note that these functions are used as seed functions to generate all higher SASHs for the totally symmetric representations with the help of Eq. (25).  

\[ Z(j) \]  

applying again the rule of the stretched triangle (\( j = j' + j'' \)) using (23) and (26). As in the case of the totally symmetric SASHs, this procedure guarantees the correct multiplicity of the generated functions \( Z(j) \). It is noted that the seed functions for \( \Gamma \neq \epsilon \) are not always the SASHs with the lowest possible value of \( j \). For instance, it is found that three triples of seed functions with \( j'' = 6, 10, 14 \) are necessary and sufficient for the recursive calculation of all SASHs for the \( T_{1g} \) representation of the icosahedral point group which are triples of SASH functions with \( j = 6, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, \ldots \), see also Table 9.

In general, we find that \( (l(\Gamma))^2 \) additional seed functions are necessary and sufficient to generate all of the wanted SASHs \( Z(j) \) for an irreducible representation of dimension \( l(\Gamma) \) using the stretched triangle rule (26). This set of functions can be decomposed into \( l(\Gamma) \) different subsets where the functions for a given \( j \) form a multiplet consisting of a single member of each of the mutually orthogonal subsets. In practice, these subsets can be easily identified by the different \( m \)-values of the non-vanishing coefficients \( c(jm) \) and/or by the cos-like or sin-like \( \phi \)-dependence, see our remarks in Appendix A. It is noted that these subsets can be correlated with irreducible representations of certain subgroups of the octahedral or icosahedral group. For instance, the two subsets of \( E_g \)
SASHs of the $O_h$ group can be identified with the $A_{1g}$ and $B_{1g}$ representation of the $D_{4h}$ group, while the $T_{1g}$ representation of the $O_h$ group correlates with $A_{2g}$ and $E_g$ of $D_{4h}$.

Because the subsets of seed functions of a given representation $\Gamma$ remain disjoint upon multiplication with a totally symmetric function $Z_j^{(e)}$, also the total set of SASHs for a given multi-dimensional representation can...
be grouped into \( l^{(T)} \) subsets with \( N^{(T)}_S \) members. Because the matrix elements (5) of the potential energy between SASHs belonging to different subsets vanish, the number of coupled equations (4) can be reduced by a factor of \( l \), see also our conclusions in Section 5.

The procedure described above is followed for all representation of even inversion symmetry, i.e. those whose characters are positive for inversion and improper rotations. All the necessary seed functions are listed in Tables 3–6 for the octahedral point group and in Tables 9–12 for the icosahedral point group. As an example, the seed functions of one of the three-dimensional representations \((T_{1g})\) of both the \(O_h\) and \(I_h\) point group are illustrated in Fig. 3. It is noted that the rotation about the axes of highest order transforms according to this representation. Accordingly, the SASHs are of 4-fold \((O_h)\) or of 5-fold \((I_h)\) rotational symmetry. Perpendicular to this axis, there is a stack of 0, 2, 4 nodal planes for the \(j = 4, 6, 8\) functions of the octahedron or 1, 5, 9 nodal planes for the \(j = 6, 10, 14\) functions of the icosahedron.

A particularly simple way to generate all the SASHs for the representations of the odd inversion symmetry is given by the direct product

\[
\xi \otimes \Gamma_g = \Gamma_u,
\]

where \(\xi\) is the \(u\)-representation (odd parity) corresponding to the totally symmetric one, i.e. \(A_{1u}\) for the \(O_h\) group and \(A_u\) for the \(I_h\) group. First of all, this equation allows to generate \(\xi\)-SASHs by identifying \(\Gamma_g = \epsilon \) and \(\Gamma_u = \xi\). This can be achieved by combining only one additional seed function \(Z^{(T)}_{j'\nu u}\) with each of the SASHs of the totally symmetric representation \(Z^{(c)}_{j''\nu u}\). For the point groups considered here, the additional seed functions are found to be the lowest order SASHs for the \(\xi\) representation, i.e. \(j' = 9\) for \(O_h\) (see Table 2) and \(j' = 15\) for \(I_h\) (see Table 8). All the remaining functions \(Z^{(T)}_{jn}\) can again be obtained by the stretched triangle rule \(j' + j'' = j\). The additional seed functions are illustrated in Fig. 4. The corresponding densities \(|Z^{(c)}_{jn}|^2\) are totally symmetric, but the arrangement of minima and maxima of \(Z^{(c)}_{jn}\) is such that they interchange upon inversion.

Once the \(\xi\) functions are found, it is straightforward to construct the SASHs for the remaining representations \(\Gamma_u\) by combining any of the \(Z^{(T)}_{j'\nu u}\) with the seed functions \(Z^{(T)}_{j''\nu u}\) discussed above to give the unknown SASHs \(Z^{(T)}_{jn}\). In this case a complete and unique set of combinations is found for \(j' - j'' = j\). For the example of the icosahedral point group \(I_h\), the \(A_u\) function \((j' = 15)\) can be combined with the \(T_{1g}\) seed functions \((j'' = 6, 10, 14)\) to yield a complete set of \(T_{1u}\) seed functions for \(j = 1, 5, 9\).
Fig. 5. Computational effort for the generation of SASHs by the recursive method [40] for selected representations (\(A_g, A_u, T_{1g}\)) of the icosahedral point group (\(I_h\)). Details of the generation of the \(A_g\) function from multiples of \(j' = 6\) and \(j'' = 10\) are given in the text, see also Eq. (25). For comparison, the dashed curve shows the effort to generate the \(A_g\) function by the projection operator method using numerical integration [41].

4.4. Numerical considerations

The computational effort for the generation of SASHs using the recursive method [40] is illustrated in Fig. 5 where we restrict ourselves to the example of the icosahedral point group. The computational time has been measured on a HP A 9000/782 workstation computer. The details are closely connected to the procedure described in Section 4.2 and with our algorithmic realization which consists of three independent steps. First, totally symmetric SASHs (\(A_g\) representation) are generated using only the first seed function. We apply Eqs. (23) and (26) recursively in order to evaluate (25) for \(j = 6s; s = 1, 2, \ldots\) assuming \(j' = 6(s - 1); j'' = 6\). Analogously, another set of SASHs is generated from the second seed vector (\(j = 10t\)). The effort to generate these sets was found to scale with \(O(j)\). Finally, the set of SASHs for the \(A_g\) representation is completed by considering all combinations of the two sets, see Eq. (25). In the limit of large values of \(j\) the degeneracy \(N_j^{(\Gamma)}\) is proportional to \(j\) and the effort to evaluate (23) scales approximately with \(O(j^2)\). Fig. 2 shows also the computer time to generate SASHs transforming according to other irreducible representations of the \(I_h\) group. The function for the \(T_{1g}\) representation are obtained applying Eq. (27), those for the \(A_u\) representation by virtue of Eq. (28). The effort to calculate these functions from a given set of seed functions is again found to scale with \(j^2\) with prefactors reflecting the higher degeneracy \(N_j^{(\Gamma)}\) of SASHs for multi-dimensional irreducible representations.

For comparison, Fig. 5 also displays the computational effort to generate totally symmetric SASHs (\(I_h, A_g\)) by the projection operator method using numerical integrations [41]. It is found that the required computer time exceeds the effort of the recursive method by approximately two orders of magnitude for the range of \(j\) considered in the figure. The most time-consuming step (more than 90% of CPU time) for the integration method stems from the calculation of spherical harmonics (SHs). Since the algorithm involves the integration over products of two SHs
using two-dimensional grids in $\theta$ and $\phi$ the number of evaluations of these functions scales with $O(j^2)$. We use a modified version of the routine given in Ref. [48] which calculates SHs for all $m$ and for given $j$ and for given arguments $\theta, \phi$. Hence, the effort is proportional to $O(j^2)$ so that the total effort of the method exhibits a $O(j^4)$ scaling behaviour.

5. Conclusions

The technique of symmetry adapted wavepackets (SAWP) represents a highly effective technique to solve the Schrödinger equation for highly symmetric potentials in three-dimensional space. In principle the computational effort is determined by the two ingredients of the method.

(1) Using the close-coupling wavepacket (CCWP) ansatz both the numerical effort to solve the coupled equations and the storage requirement for the potential energy matrix scale with $N_N^2 \times N_R$ where $N_N = (J_{\max} + 1)^2$ stands for the number of spherical harmonics occurring in the expansion of the angular part of the wavefunction and $N_R$ is the number of points in a collocation scheme typically used to represent the radial wavefunctions. This may be compared with the Cartesian approach using a direct product of collocation schemes in each of the three degrees of freedom where the storage requirement for the wavefunction scales with $N_R^3$. Hence, the CCWP scheme is numerically advantageous only for applications with relatively low values of the angular momentum ($J_{\max} \ll \sqrt{N_R}$).

(2) If the potential energy function (and hence the total Hamiltonian) of the system is symmetric, the efficiency can be greatly improved by symmetry adaption of the angular functions to yield symmetry-adapted spherical harmonics (SASHs). If the initial state transforms according to one of the irreducible representations of the point group of the Hamiltonian, the number of coupled equations in the CCWP scheme can be reduced by a factor of $|G|/(l(\Gamma))^2$ where $|G|$ is the number of symmetry operations and $l(\Gamma)$ represents the dimensionality of the representation $\Gamma$. Under favorable circumstances, i.e. when the initial state can be assigned to one of the subsets of the SASHs for a multi-dimensional representation $\Gamma'$, the number of basis functions can be further decreased by a factor of $l(\Gamma)$. For point groups of very high symmetry such as the octahedral and icosahedral group, this reduction of the size of the basis set can be about one or two orders of magnitude thus making the approach also attractive for higher values of the maximum angular momentum. With the condition

$$J_{\max} \ll \sqrt{\frac{N_R|G|}{l(\Gamma)}} \quad (29)$$

being valid, the SAWP method emerges to be a valuable tool in the field of quantum molecular dynamics. First applications can be found in the quantum molecular dynamics simulations of photodissociation of hydrogen-containing diatomic molecules in the environment of icosahedral clusters or octahedral matrices [23–25].

Furthermore, the present paper has addressed the question of the generation of spherical harmonics for very high values of the angular momentum quantum number ($j \gg 100$) and for the octahedral and icosahedral point groups which were not available in the previous literature. In particular, it has been shown that the recursive method is far superior to the methods based on projection operators, i.e. both the standard method using Wigner rotation matrices and a newer version relying on numerical integrations. However, these two methods are useful to generate low order seed functions needed to initialize the recursive method. Complete tables of seed functions for all irreducible representations of the $O_h$ and $I_h$ groups investigated in the present work are given in Appendix A. They allow accurate and efficient generation of higher order SASHs by means of the recursive method.
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Appendix A. Tables of seed functions

The twelve tables in this appendix list the coefficients of seed functions for various representations of the octahedral ($O_h$) and icosahedral point group ($I_h$). Note that the tables are complete in the sense that
(1) SASHs of arbitrarily high order can be generated accurately and efficiently from these seed functions with the help of the recursive procedure (see Eqs. (23) and (26) in Section 3.4) and
(2) SASHs for the missing representations of $u$-symmetry can be supplemented by the use of Eq. (28) in Section 4.3.

Moreover, the first $500 \times l^{(l)}$ SASHs for each representation of the two point groups under consideration have been tabulated and can be downloaded from the WWW pages of one of the authors (http://www.zib.de/burkhard.schmidt/SAWP).

The coefficients in the tables refer to real valued combinations of spherical harmonics which are defined as [22]

$$\gamma^c_{jnm} = \frac{c_{jnm} + c_{jn-m}}{\sqrt{2N}}, \quad m \geq 0, \quad \gamma^s_{jnm} = \frac{c_{jnm} - c_{jn-m}}{i \sqrt{2N}}, \quad m > 0,$$

where the superscripts ‘c’ and ‘s’ refer to the cos-like or sin-like $\phi$-dependence of the corresponding linear combinations of spherical harmonics and $N$ ensures the correct normalization. Note that the coefficients of the seed functions are given in terms of fractions and square roots of integer numbers to avoid round-off errors when recursively generating higher order functions from the seeds.

The tables are organized similarly to those in Ref. [22]: The first column gives the value of the angular momentum quantum number $j$. If a degenerate set of SASHs occurs, successive lines for the same $j$ correspond to $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N_j^{(l)}$. The second column indicates the $\phi$-dependence. In some cases the symbol $c/s$ occurs which stands for two functions where the upper and lower plus or minus signs correspond to the cos-like or sin-like function, respectively. The following columns are the coefficients $\gamma$ for various $m$ as defined above. Finally, the last column gives the normalization factor $N$.

The sets of SASHs functions for multi-dimensional irreducible representations can be assigned to $l^{(l)}$ different subsets, see our explanations in Section 4.3. Accordingly, the tabulated seed functions are sometimes split into two or three ($H_g$) tables according to the different $\phi$ dependence and/or mutually exclusive sets of numbers $m$ for non-vanishing coefficients $\gamma^c_{jnm}$.

For example, the third line of Table 1 stands for the function

$$Z_{61} = \left(\sqrt{2}Y_{60} - \sqrt{7}(Y_{64} + Y_{6-4})\right)/4$$

which is the only ($n = 1$) SASH for $j = 6$ which transforms according to the $A_{1g}$ representation of the $O_h$ group.

\subsection*{A.1. Octahedral point group ($O_h$)}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{A$_{1g}$ representation of the octahedral point group ($O_h$)}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
\hline
& $A_{1g}$ representation of the octahedral point group ($O_h$) & & & \\
\hline
$j$ & $r$ & 0 & 4 & $N$ \\
\hline
0 & $c$ & 1 & & 1 \\
4 & $c$ & $\sqrt{3}$ & $\sqrt{13}$ & 6 \\
6 & $c$ & $\sqrt{2}$ & $-\sqrt{14}$ & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{A$_{1u}$ representation of the octahedral point group ($O_h$)}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
\hline
\multicolumn{5}{c}{A$_{1u}$ representation of the octahedral point group ($O_h$)} \\
\hline
$j$ & $r$ & 4 & 8 & $N$ \\
\hline
9 & $s$ & $\sqrt{102}$ & $-\sqrt{42}$ & 12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
Table 3
\(A_2g\) representation of the octahedral point group (\(O_h\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(j)</th>
<th>(r)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(6)</th>
<th>(N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>(\sqrt{11})</td>
<td>(-\sqrt{5})</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4
\(E_g\) representation of the octahedral point group (\(O_h\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(j)</th>
<th>(r)</th>
<th>(0)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>(\sqrt{15})</td>
<td>(-\sqrt{21})</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(j)</th>
<th>(r)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5
\(T_{1g}\) representation of the octahedral point group (\(O_h\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(j)</th>
<th>(r)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>(N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(c/s)</td>
<td>(\mp\sqrt{14})</td>
<td>(\sqrt{2})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(c/s)</td>
<td>(\mp2\sqrt{3})</td>
<td>(-\sqrt{30})</td>
<td>(\pm\sqrt{22})</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(c/s)</td>
<td>(\mp\sqrt{78})</td>
<td>(-\sqrt{130})</td>
<td>(\pm2\sqrt{14})</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(j)</th>
<th>(r)</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>(N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(s)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(s)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(s)</td>
<td>(\sqrt{130})</td>
<td>(-\sqrt{14})</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6
\(T_{2g}\) representation of the octahedral point group (\(O_h\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(j)</th>
<th>(r)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>(N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(c/s)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(c/s)</td>
<td>(\pm\sqrt{2})</td>
<td>(\sqrt{14})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(c/s)</td>
<td>6\sqrt{913}</td>
<td>(\mp5\sqrt{9130})</td>
<td>(-19\sqrt{498})</td>
<td>664</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(j)</th>
<th>(r)</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>(N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(s)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(s)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(s)</td>
<td>3\sqrt{9130}</td>
<td>(-13\sqrt{166})</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A.2. Icosahedral point group \((I_h)\)

**Table 7**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(j)</th>
<th>(r)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>(N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>(\sqrt{11})</td>
<td>(\sqrt{11})</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>(\sqrt{741})</td>
<td>(3\sqrt{4785})</td>
<td>(\sqrt{1122})</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 8**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(j)</th>
<th>(r)</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>(N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>(s)</td>
<td>(-5\sqrt{1334})</td>
<td>(2\sqrt{4785})</td>
<td>(\sqrt{10010})</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 9**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(j)</th>
<th>(r)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>(N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(c/s)</td>
<td>(\sqrt{66})</td>
<td>(\mp\sqrt{22})</td>
<td>(\sqrt{12})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(c/s)</td>
<td>(\mp\sqrt{4785})</td>
<td>(-3\sqrt{1122})</td>
<td>(\pm\sqrt{4785})</td>
<td>(2\sqrt{1122})</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>(c/s)</td>
<td>(-5\sqrt{3122})</td>
<td>(\mp\sqrt{1334})</td>
<td>(30\sqrt{1122})</td>
<td>(\pm2\sqrt{165})</td>
<td>(\sqrt{15015})</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 10**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(j)</th>
<th>(r)</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>(N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(c/s)</td>
<td>(\mp\sqrt{33})</td>
<td>(\sqrt{70})</td>
<td>(\pm3\sqrt{35})</td>
<td>(4\sqrt{35})</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(c/s)</td>
<td>(\mp4\sqrt{17})</td>
<td>(-8\sqrt{17})</td>
<td>(\pm26)</td>
<td>(7\sqrt{6})</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(c/s)</td>
<td>(-2\sqrt{33})</td>
<td>(\pm2\sqrt{33})</td>
<td>(8\sqrt{70})</td>
<td>(\mp17\sqrt{70})</td>
<td>(2\sqrt{3795})</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(j)</th>
<th>(r)</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>(N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(s)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(s)</td>
<td>(2\sqrt{33})</td>
<td>(\sqrt{33})</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(s)</td>
<td>(-2\sqrt{33})</td>
<td>(\sqrt{33})</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 11
Gg representation of the icosahedral point group (Ih)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>j</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>c/s</td>
<td>$\sqrt{105}$</td>
<td>$\pm 2\sqrt{30}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>c/s</td>
<td>$\mp 2\sqrt{2}$</td>
<td>$\mp \sqrt{6}$</td>
<td>$\pm \sqrt{10}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>c/s</td>
<td>$\sqrt{66}$</td>
<td>$\mp \sqrt{2}$</td>
<td>$\pm 3\sqrt{10}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>c/s</td>
<td>$\mp 3\sqrt{30198885}$</td>
<td>$-2\sqrt{12434835}$</td>
<td>$\pm 22\sqrt{186090}$</td>
<td>$6\sqrt{29810}$</td>
<td>$20325$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>j</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>c/s</td>
<td>$\mp \sqrt{210}$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{15}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>c/s</td>
<td>$\pm \sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>$2\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>c/s</td>
<td>$\pm \sqrt{1155}$</td>
<td>$6\sqrt{70}$</td>
<td>$\mp \sqrt{390}$</td>
<td>$2\sqrt{390}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>c/s</td>
<td>$\mp 6\sqrt{20132590}$</td>
<td>$-8\sqrt{30198885}$</td>
<td>$\pm 78\sqrt{436310}$</td>
<td>$63\sqrt{436310}$</td>
<td>$\pm 271\sqrt{44715}$</td>
<td>$101625$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12
Hg representation of the icosahedral point group (Ih)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>j</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>c/s</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>c/s</td>
<td>$2\sqrt{30}$</td>
<td>$\mp \sqrt{105}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>c/s</td>
<td>$\sqrt{2}$</td>
<td>$\pm 3\sqrt{6}$</td>
<td>$2\sqrt{11}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>c/s</td>
<td>$\pm 4\sqrt{66}$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{51051}$</td>
<td>$\pm 3\sqrt{74}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>c/s</td>
<td>$\sqrt{174590}$</td>
<td>$\mp 7\sqrt{40290}$</td>
<td>$-9\sqrt{20145}$</td>
<td>$\pm 4\sqrt{7505}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>j</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>c/s</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>c/s</td>
<td>$\sqrt{15}$</td>
<td>$\pm \sqrt{210}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>c/s</td>
<td>$2\sqrt{3}$</td>
<td>$\pm \sqrt{5}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>c/s</td>
<td>$\mp \sqrt{46410}$</td>
<td>$3\sqrt{83085}$</td>
<td>$\pm \sqrt{2805}$</td>
<td>$-17\sqrt{2805}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>c/s</td>
<td>$-3\sqrt{523770}$</td>
<td>$\pm 16\sqrt{20145}$</td>
<td>$58\sqrt{1185}$</td>
<td>$\mp 47\sqrt{790}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>j</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>$\sqrt{14}$</td>
<td>$-\sqrt{11}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>$-3\sqrt{251}$</td>
<td>$2\sqrt{1309}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>$-\sqrt{1152294}$</td>
<td>$66\sqrt{1343}$</td>
<td>$79\sqrt{4503}$</td>
<td>5925</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>